



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/091,912	03/05/2002	Richard R. Bott	GC724	9189	
7590 11/18/2003			EXAMINER		
JANET KAISER CASTANEDA			STEADMAN, DAVID J		
GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC. 925 PAGE MILL ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO,	CA 94304-1013		1652		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2001	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/091,912	BOTT ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		David J Steadman	1652	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communitor Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE - Extrafte - If th - If N - Fail - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY OF THIS COMMUNITY OF THIS FROM THIS FROM THIS FROM THE PRIVILED FOR THIS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE PRIVILED FOR THE PRIVILED FOR THE PRIVILED FOR THE PRIVILED FOR THE PRIVILED FROM T	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resolution. O) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	ica palenticim adjustinent. God of GFTC 110 (G).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practic			
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the a	pplication.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	•		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to l	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.		
*	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	
13) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78.	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	
	a) \square The translation of the foreign lan	guage provisional application has be	een received.	
	· ·	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.		

Attachment(s)

and a minimum (a)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:

reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,912 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

- [1] Claims 1-29 are pending in the application.
- [2] It appears claim 10 should depend from claim 9 and not claim 1 and claim 10 has been restricted accordingly. If applicant intends claim 10 to depend from claim 1, applicant should so state and clarify the record.

Election/Restrictions

- [3] Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4, 17, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Gly at position 59 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.
 - II. Claims 1-3, 5, 24, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Thr at position 177 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.
 - III. Claims 1-3, 6, 25, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Thr at position 64 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.
 - IV. Claims 1-3, 7, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Tyr at position 150 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.

Art Unit: 1652

- V. Claims 1-3, 8, 26, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Tyr at position 182 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.
- VI. Claims 1-3, 9-10, 12-16, 19, and 27-29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Phe at position 180, Ser at position 205 of SEQ ID NO:2, and Ile at position 178, classified in class 435, subclass 197.
- VII. Claims 1-3, 11, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Ala at position 66 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.
- VIII. Claims 1-3, 18, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Gly at position 61 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.
- IX. Claims 1-3, 20, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Arg at position 20 and Tyr at position 112 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.
- X. Claims 1-3, 21, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Ser at position 205 and Phe at position 207 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.
- XI. Claims 1-3, 22, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Ser at position 63 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,912

Art Unit: 1652

XII. Claims 1-3, 23, 28, and 29, drawn to a cutinase variant having a substitution of Ser at position 85 of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 197.

Page 4

- [4] The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- [5] Each of the polypeptides of Groups I-XII is structurally distinct and no single polypeptide of Groups I-XII would render any of the others obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- MPEP § 803 sets forth two criteria for a proper restriction between patentably distinct inventions: (A) The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed and (B) There must be a serious burden on the examiner. As shown above, each of the inventions of Groups I-XII are independent or distinct, thus satisfying the first criterion for a proper restriction. MPEP § 803 additionally states that a serious burden on the examiner may be prima facie shown if the examiner shows by appropriate explanation either separate classification, separate status in the art, or a different field of search. Each of the inventions requires a separate patent and non-patent literature search requiring a different text and sequence search for each Group and thus, co-examination of the inventions of Groups I-XII would place a serious burden on the examiner.

Rejoinder

[7] The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise

Application/Control Number: 10/091,912

Art Unit: 1652

include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply

Application/Control Number: 10/091,912 Page 6

Art Unit: 1652

where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent

issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

[8] Claims 1-3 and 28-29 will be examined only to the extent the claims read on the

elected subject matter.

[9] Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

[10] Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Steadman, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3934. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The FAX number for submission of official papers to Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242. Draft or informal FAX communications should be directed to (703) 746-5078. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Art Unit receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David J. Steadman Patent Examiner

Art Unit, 1652

DAVID STEADMAN PATENT EXAMPLES